



# Linear Forms in Finite Sets of Integers

SHU-PING HAN

*Department of Mathematics, CUNY Graduate School, New York, NY 10036*

CHRISTOPH KIRFEL

*Department of Mathematics, Bergen College, N-5030 Landås, Bergen, Norway*

chrisk@lsv.hib.no

MELVYN B. NATHANSON\*

*Department of Mathematics, Lehman College (CUNY), Bronx, NY 10468*

nathansn@alpha.lehman.cuny.edu

Dedicated to Paul Erdős

*Received January 5, 1998; Accepted February 6, 1998*

**Abstract.** Let  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  be finite, nonempty sets of integers, and let  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  be positive integers. The linear form  $h_1 A_1 + \dots + h_r A_r$  is the set of all integers of the form  $b_1 + \dots + b_r$ , where  $b_i$  is an integer that can be represented as the sum of  $h_i$  elements of the set  $A_i$ . In this paper, the structure of the linear form  $h_1 A_1 + \dots + h_r A_r$  is completely determined for all sufficiently large integers  $h_i$ .

**Key words:** sums of sets of integers, sumsets, growth in semigraphs, additive number theory

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11B05; Secondary 11B13, 11B75, 11P99

## 1. Sums of sets of integers

Let  $A$  be a nonempty set of integers. For every positive integer  $h$ , the sumset  $hA$  is the set of all integers that can be represented as the sum of exactly  $h$  not necessarily distinct elements of  $A$ . For example,

$$2\{1, 2, 4\} = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$$

and

$$3\{0, 2, 5\} = \{0, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15\}.$$

We define  $hA = \{0\}$  for  $h = 0$ . For any set  $A$  and integers  $a_0$  and  $\delta$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 + A &= \{a_0 + a : a \in A\}, \\ a_0 - A &= \{a_0 - a : a \in A\}, \end{aligned}$$

\*The work of M.B.N. was supported in part by grants from the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program and the National Security Agency Mathematical Sciences Program.

and

$$\delta * A = \{\delta a : a \in A\}.$$

Let  $[x, y]$  denote the interval of integers  $n$  such that  $x \leq n \leq y$ .

A finite set  $A$  of integers is called *normalized* if it consists of 0 and a nonempty set of relatively prime positive integers. If  $A$  is a finite set of integers with  $|A| \geq 2$ , we can normalize  $A$  as follows. Let  $a_0$  be the least element of  $A$ , and let  $\delta$  be the greatest common divisor of the positive integers of the form  $a - a_0$  for  $a \in A$ . The normalized form of  $A$  is the set

$$A^{(N)} = \left\{ \frac{a - a_0}{\delta} : a \in A \right\}.$$

Then

$$A = a_0 + \delta * A^{(N)}$$

and

$$hA = ha_0 + \delta * hA^{(N)}. \quad (1)$$

Note that  $A$  is normalized if and only if  $A = A^{(N)}$ .

Nathanson [5, 6] completely determined the structure of the sumset  $hA$  for all nonempty, finite sets  $A$  and all sufficiently large integers  $h$ . By (1), it suffices to describe the structure of sumsets of normalized sets.

**Theorem 1 (Nathanson).** *Let  $A$  be a normalized finite set of integers, and let  $a^*$  be the greatest element of  $A$ . There exist integers  $c$  and  $d$  and sets  $C \subseteq [0, c-2]$  and  $D \subseteq [0, d-2]$  such that, for  $h$  sufficiently large,*

$$hA = C \cup [c, ha^* - d] \cup (ha^* - D).$$

In this paper we generalize this result to linear forms in finite sets of integers. Let  $r \geq 1$ . If  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  are nonempty sets of integers and  $h_1, \dots, h_r$  are positive integers, then the sumset

$$h_1A_1 + \dots + h_rA_r \quad (2)$$

is the set of all integers that can be represented in the form  $b_1 + \dots + b_r$ , where  $b_i \in h_iA_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The sumset (2) is called a *linear form* in the sets  $A_1, \dots, A_r$ . We shall describe explicitly the structure of linear forms in finite sets of integers for all sufficiently large values of  $h_1, \dots, h_r$ .

## 2. The structure of linear forms

The system of sets  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  is *normalized*, if each  $A_i$  is a finite set of nonnegative integers, if  $0 \in A_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and if  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i \setminus \{0\}$  is a nonempty set of relatively prime

positive integers. For example, the sets  $A_1 = \{0, 6\}$ ,  $A_2 = \{0, 10\}$ , and  $A_3 = \{0, 15\}$  are a normalized system, since  $(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) \setminus \{0\} = \{6, 10, 15\}$ , and  $(6, 10, 15) = 1$ .

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  be nonempty, finite sets of integers such that  $|A_i| \geq 2$  for all  $i$ . We shall normalize this system of sets as follows. Let  $a_{i,0}$  be the smallest element in  $A_i$ . Let  $\delta$  be the greatest common divisor of the integers in the set

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^r \{a_{i,j} - a_{i,0} : a_{i,j} \in A_i\}.$$

Let

$$A_i^{(N)} = \left\{ \frac{a_{i,j} - a_{i,0}}{\delta} : a_{i,j} \in A_i \right\}.$$

The system of sets  $A_1^{(N)}, \dots, A_r^{(N)}$  is normalized, and

$$A_i = a_{i,0} + \delta * A_i^{(N)}$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . For any positive integers  $h_1, \dots, h_r$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_{i,0} \right) + \delta * \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i^{(N)}. \tag{3}$$

By (3), it suffices to describe the structure of sums of normalized systems of finite sets of integers.

Let  $A$  be a set of nonnegative integers that contains 0, let  $\gcd(A)$  denote the greatest common divisor of the elements of  $A$ , and let

$$a^* = \max(A).$$

We define the *reflected set*

$$\hat{A} = a^* - A = \{a^* - a : a \in A\}.$$

Then  $\hat{A}$  is also a set of nonnegative integers that contains 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \max(\hat{A}) &= \max(A) = a^*, \\ \gcd(A) &= \gcd(\hat{A}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{\hat{A}} = A.$$

For any positive integer  $h$ , we have  $0 \in hA$ , and

$$\max(hA) = ha^*,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} h\hat{A} &= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^h (a^* - a_j) : a_j \in A \right\} \\ &= ha^* - \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^h a_j : a_j \in A \right\} \\ &= ha^* - hA \\ &= \widehat{hA}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  be a normalized system of finite sets of integers, and let  $a_i^* = \max(A_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The reflected sets  $\hat{A}_1, \dots, \hat{A}_r$  also form a normalized system. For any integer  $x$ ,*

$$x \in \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \hat{A}_i$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - x \in \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i.$$

Moreover,

$$\left[ d, \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d' \right] \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \hat{A}_i,$$

if and only if

$$\left[ d', \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d \right] \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i.$$

**Proof:** For  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , let

$$d_i = \gcd(A_i).$$

If the system  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  is normalized, then

$$1 = \gcd \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i \setminus \{0\} \right) = (d_1, \dots, d_r).$$

Since

$$\gcd(\hat{A}_i) = \gcd(A_i) = d_i,$$

it follows that

$$\gcd\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r \hat{A}_i \setminus \{0\}\right) = (d_1, \dots, d_r) = 1,$$

and so the system  $\hat{A}_1, \dots, \hat{A}_r$  is also normalized.

If

$$x \in \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \hat{A}_i = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \widehat{A}_i = \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i a_i^* - h_i A_i),$$

then there exist integers  $b_i \in h_i A_i$  such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i a_i^* - b_i),$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - x = \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \in \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i.$$

The proof in the opposite direction is similar.

We observe that

$$x \in \left[ d', \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d' \right],$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - x \in \left[ d, \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d \right],$$

and this suffices to prove the last part of the Lemma. □

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  be a normalized system of finite sets of integers. Let  $a_i^* = \max(A_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . There exist integers  $c$  and  $d$  and finite sets*

$$C \subseteq [0, c - 2]$$

and

$$D \subseteq [0, d - 2]$$

and there exist integers  $h_1^*, \dots, h_r^*$  such that, if  $h_i \geq h_i^*$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then

$$h_1 A_1 + \dots + h_r A_r = C \cup \left[ c, \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d \right] \cup \left( \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - D \right).$$

**Proof:** For  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , let

$$A_i = \{a_{i,0}, a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,k_i-1}\},$$

where

$$k_i = |A_i|$$

and

$$0 = a_{i,0} < a_{i,1} < \dots < a_{i,k_i-1}.$$

Renumbering the sets  $A_i$ , we can assume that

$$a^* = \max\{a_i^* : i = 1, \dots, r\} = a_r^* = a_{r,k_r-1}.$$

For any integers  $c$  and  $m^*$  with  $m^* \geq a^*$ , we have

$$[c, c + m^* - 1] + A_j = [c, c + m^* - 1 + a_j^*] \quad (4)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ .

Since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i \setminus \{0\}$  is a nonempty set of relatively prime positive integers, it follows that for every integer  $n$  there exist integers  $x'_{i,j}$  such that

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{k_i-1} x'_{i,j} a_{i,j}.$$

For each pair  $(i, j) \neq (r, k_r - 1)$ , we can choose an integer  $x_{i,j}$  such that

$$x'_{i,j} \equiv x_{i,j} \pmod{a^*}$$

and

$$0 \leq x_{i,j} \leq a^* - 1.$$

There exist integers  $t_{i,j}$  such that

$$x'_{i,j} = x_{i,j} + t_{i,j} a^*.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{k_i-1} x'_{i,j} a_{i,j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ (i,j) \neq (r,k_r-1)}}^{k_i-1} (x_{i,j} + t_{i,j} a^*) a_{i,j} + x'_{r,k_r-1} a_{r,k_r-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{k_i-1} x_{i,j} a_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x_{r,k_r-1} = x'_{r,k_r-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ (i,j) \neq (r,k_r-1)}}^{k_i-1} t_{i,j} a_{i,j}.$$

If

$$n \geq (a^* - 1) \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ (i,j) \neq (r,k_r-1)}}^{k_i-1} a_{i,j},$$

then

$$x_{r,k_r-1} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, every sufficiently large integer is a nonnegative integer linear combination of the elements of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i$ . Let  $c$  be the smallest integer such that every integer  $n \geq c$  can be represented in the form

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{k_i-1} x_{i,j}(n) a_{i,j},$$

where the coefficients  $x_{i,j}(n)$  are nonnegative integers. Then

$$c - 1 \notin \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i$$

for all nonnegative integers  $h_1, \dots, h_r$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , we define

$$h_i^{(1)} = \max \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k_i-1} x_{i,j}(n) : n = c, c + 1, \dots, c + a^* - 1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$[c, c + a^* - 1] \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^{(1)} A_i.$$

It follows that

$$c + a^* - 1 \leq \max \left( \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^{(1)} A_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^{(1)} a_i^*,$$

and so

$$c' = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^{(1)} a_i^* - (c + a^* - 1) \geq 0.$$

We shall prove that if  $h_i \geq h_i^{(1)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then the sumset  $\sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i$  contains the interval of integers

$$\left[ c, \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_i^{(1)}) a_i^* + c + a^* - 1 \right] = \left[ c, \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - c' \right].$$

The proof is by induction on

$$\ell = \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_i^{(1)}).$$

If  $\ell = 0$ , then  $h_i = h_i^{(1)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and the assertion is true.

Let  $\ell \geq 1$ , and assume that the statement holds for  $\ell - 1$ . Then  $h_j \geq h_j^{(1)} + 1$  for some  $j$ . By the induction assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r h_i A_i + (h_j - 1) A_j &\supseteq \left[ c, \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r h_i a_i^* + (h_j - 1) a_j^* - c' \right] \\ &= \left[ c, \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_i^{(1)}) a_i^* - a_j^* + c + a^* - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (4) with

$$m^* = a^* + \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_i^{(1)}) a_i^* - a_j^* \geq a^*,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i &= \left( \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r h_i A_i + (h_j - 1) A_j \right) + A_j \\ &\supseteq \left[ c, \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_i^{(1)}) a_i^* - a_j^* + c + a^* - 1 \right] + A_j \\ &= \left[ c, \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_i^{(1)}) a_i^* + c + a^* - 1 \right] \\ &= \left[ c, \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - c' \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction.



If the system of sets  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  is normalized, then the system of reflected sets  $\hat{A}_1, \dots, \hat{A}_r$  is also normalized. Applying the previous argument to the reflected system, we obtain integers  $d, d', h_1^{(2)}, \dots, h_r^{(2)}$  such that  $d$  is the largest integer with the property that  $d - 1$  cannot be written as a nonnegative integral linear combination of the elements of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \hat{A}_i$ , and

$$\left[ d, \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d' \right] \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \hat{A}_i$$

if  $h_i \geq h_i^{(2)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . By Lemma 1,

$$\left[ d', \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d \right] \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d + 1 \notin \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i$$

for all nonnegative integers  $h_1, \dots, h_r$ .

Choose  $h_i^{(3)} \geq \max\{h_i^{(1)}, h_i^{(2)}\}$  such that

$$c' + d' \leq \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^{(3)} a_i^*.$$

If  $h_i \geq h_i^{(3)}$ , then

$$\left[ c, \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d \right] \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i.$$

Since

$$c - 1 \notin \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d + 1 \notin \sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i$$

for all nonnegative integers  $h_1, \dots, h_r$ , it follows that if

$$h_i \geq h_i^* = \max\{h_i^{(3)}, c, d\},$$

then there exist sets  $C \subseteq [0, c - 2]$  and  $D \subseteq [0, d - 2]$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i A_i = C \cup \left[ c, \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d \right] \cup \left( \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - D \right).$$

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  be a normalized system of finite sets of integers, and let  $a_i^* = \max(A_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $B$  be a finite set of nonnegative integers with  $0 \in B$  and  $b^* = \max(B)$ . There exist integers  $c$  and  $d$  and finite sets*

$$C \subseteq [0, c - 2]$$

and

$$D \subseteq [0, d - 2]$$

such that

$$B + h_1 A_1 + \dots + h_r A_r = C \cup \left[ c, b^* + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - d \right] \cup \left( b^* + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i a_i^* - D \right)$$

for all sufficiently large integers  $h_i$ .

**Proof:** This is a simple consequence of Theorem 2. □

### 3. The cardinality of linear forms

Theorem 3 immediately implies the following estimate for the size of a sumset of integers.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  be a normalized system of finite sets of integers, and let  $B$  be a nonempty, finite set of nonnegative integers. There exist positive integers  $a_1^*, \dots, a_r^*$  and nonnegative integers  $b^*$  and  $\Delta$  such that*

$$|B + h_1 A_1 + \dots + h_r A_r| = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^* h_i + b^* + 1 - \Delta$$

for all sufficiently large integers  $h_i$ .

Theorem 4 shows that the cardinality of the sumset  $B + h_1 A_1 + \dots + h_r A_r$  is a linear polynomial in the variables  $h_1, \dots, h_r$ . This is a special case of the following very general result. Let  $S$  be an arbitrary abelian semigroup, written additively, and let  $B, A_1, \dots, A_r$  be finite, nonempty subsets of  $S$ . We can define the sumset  $B + h_1 A_1 + \dots + h_r A_r$  in  $S$  exactly as we defined sumsets in the semigroup of integers. Extending results of Khovanskii [1, 2]

for the case  $r = 1$ , Nathanson [7] proved that there exists a polynomial  $p(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  such that

$$|B + h_1 A_1 + \dots + h_r A_r| = p(h_1, \dots, h_r)$$

for all sufficiently large integers  $h_i$ . For an arbitrary semigroup  $S$ , it is not known how to compute this polynomial, nor even to determine its degree.

### References

1. A.G. Khovanskii, "Newton polyhedron, Hilbert polynomial, and sums of finite sets," *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **26** (1992), 276–281.
2. A.G. Khovanskii, "Sums of finite sets, orbits of commutative semigroups, and Hilbert functions," *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **29** (1995), 102–112.
3. V.F. Lev, "Structure theorem for multiple addition and the Frobenius problem," *J. Number Theory* **58** (1996), 79–88.
4. V.F. Lev, "Addendum to 'Structure theorem for multiple addition'," *J. Number Theory* **65** (1997), 96–100.
5. M.B. Nathanson, "Sums of finite sets of integers," *Amer. Math. Monthly* **79** (1972), 1010–1012.
6. M.B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets*, volume 165 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
7. M.B. Nathanson, "Growth of sumsets in abelian semigroups," Preprint, 1997.
8. Ö.J. Rödseth, "On  $h$ -bases for  $n$ ," *Math. Scand.* **48** (1981), 165–183.